

In: KSC-BC-2023-10

Specialist Prosecutor v. Sabit Januzi and Ismet Bahtijari

Before: Pre-Trial Judge

Judge Nicolas Guillou

Registrar: Dr Fidelma Donlon

Filing Participant: Specialist Prosecutor's Office

Date: 17 November 2023

English Language:

Classification: **Public**

> Public redacted version of 'Prosecution response to Januzi Defence detention submissions'

Specialist Prosecutor's Office Duty Counsel for Sabit Januzi

Kimberly P. West Jonathan Elystan Rees

Duty Counsel for Ismet Bahtijari

Hendrik Sytema

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

I. INTRODUCTION

1. Pursuant to Article 41 of the Law¹ and Rule 57 of the Rules,² the Specialist

Prosecutor's Office ('SPO') makes the following submissions in support of the need to

detain the Accused Sabit Januzi ('Januzi'). As the Pre-Trial Judge found in the Decision

on Arrest and Transfer,³ the criteria for detention under Article 41(6) are satisfied. No

conditions short of detention in the Kosovo Specialist Chamber's ('KSC') detention

facilities would be sufficient to mitigate the risks of release under any conditions, and the

period of detention is reasonable.

II. PROCEDURAL HISTORY

2. On 2 October 2023, the Pre-Trial Judge confirmed the indictment against Ismet

Bahtijari ('Bahtijari') and Januzi (collectively, 'Accused').4 On the same day, the Pre-Trial

Judge also issued arrest warrants for the Accused and ordered their transfer to the KSC

detention facilities in The Hague, the Netherlands.⁵

3. On 4 October 2023, the SPO filed the confirmed Indictment.⁶

¹ Law no.05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office, 3 August 2015 ('Law'). Unless otherwise indicated, all references to 'Article(s)' are to the Law.

KSC-BC-2023-10 1 17 November 2023

² Rules of Procedure and Evidence Before the Kosovo Specialist Chambers, KSC-BD-03/Rev3/2020, 2 June 2020 ('Rules'). All references to 'Rule' or 'Rules' herein refer to the Rules, unless otherwise specified.

³ Public Redacted Version of the Decision on Request for Arrest Warrants and Transfer Orders, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, 2 October 2023 ('Decision on Arrest and Transfer').

⁴ Decision on the Confirmation of the Indictment, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00008/CONF/RED, 2 October 2023, Confidential ('Confirmation Decision'). A corrected version of the public redacted version of the Confirmation Decision was filed on 12 October 2023, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00008/RED/COR.

⁵ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED.

⁶ Indictment, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00010/A01, 4 October 2023, Strictly Confidential ('Indictment'). A public redacted version of the confirmed indictment was submitted on 6 October 2023, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00016/A01.

4. On 5 October 2023, the Accused were arrested in Kosovo.⁷ On the next day, the

Accused were transferred to the KSC detention facilities in The Hague,8 in accordance

with the Decision on Arrest and Transfer.

5. On 9 October 2023, the Accused had their initial appearances before the Pre-Trial

Judge.9

6. On 11 October 2023, the Defence filed the First Defence Submission requesting

Januzi's release from detention.¹⁰

7. On 12 October 2023, the Pre-Trial Judge presided over the first status conference in

this case.11

8. On 2 November 2023, a new Duty Counsel was assigned to Januzi. 12

9. On 3 November 2023, the Pre-Trial Judge presided over the second status conference

in this case, where, in light of the recent assignment of a new Duty Counsel, the briefing

schedule was varied such that supplemental submissions on interim release were ordered

⁷ Notification of Arrest of Ismet Bahtjari Pursuant to Rule 55(4), KSC-BC-2023-10/F00011, 5 October 2023, Strictly Confidential and *Ex Parte*; Notification of Arrest of Sabit Januzi Pursuant to Rule 55(4), KSC-BC-2023-10/F00012, 5 October 2023, Strictly Confidential and *Ex Parte*.

KSC-BC-2023-10 2 17 November 2023

⁸ Notification of the Reception of Ismet Bahtjari in the Detention Facilities of the Specialist Chambers, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00014, 6 October 2023, Strictly Confidential and *Ex Parte*; Notification of the Reception of Sabit Januzi in the Detention Facilities of the Specialist Chambers, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00015, 6 October 2023, Strictly Confidential and *Ex Parte*.

⁹ Transcript (Initial Appearance of Sabit Januzi), 9 October 2023; Transcript (Initial Appearance of Ismet Bahtijari), 9 October 2023.

¹⁰ Defence Submission on Detention on Remand, KSC-BC-2020-10/F00028, 11 October 2023, Confidential.

¹¹ Transcript (Status Conference), 12 October 2023.

¹² Notification of Assignment of Duty Counsel to Sabit Januzi with one confidential Annex, KSC-BC-2020-10/F00086, 2 November 2023.

KSC-BC-2023-10/F00107/RED/4 of 19

PUBLIC
Date original: 17/11/2023 16:05:00

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

from the Defence by 10 November 2023, a response by the SPO by 17 November 2023,

and any reply by 24 November 2023.¹³

10. On 10 November 2023, the Defence filed the Second Defence Submission requesting

Januzi's release from detention.14

11. On 10 November 2023, the Defence filed the Corrected Second Defence Submission

requesting Januzi's release from detention.15

III. APPLICABLE LEGAL FRAMEWORK

12. Before ordering that Januzi be detained pending trial, the Pre-Trial Judge must be

satisfied¹⁶ that: (1) there is a grounded suspicion that Januzi has committed a crime within

the jurisdiction of the KSC; and (2) there are articulable grounds to believe that: (i) there

is a risk of flight; (ii) Januzi will obstruct the progress of the criminal proceedings,

including by influencing witnesses, victims or accomplices; or (iii) the seriousness of the

crime or the manner or circumstances in which it was committed and Januzi's personal

characteristics, past conduct, the environment and conditions in which he lives or other

personal circumstances indicate a risk that he will repeat the criminal offense, complete

an attempted crime or commit a crime which he has threatened to commit.¹⁷

¹³ Transcript (Status Conference), 3 November 2023, pp.91-92.

¹⁴ Submission on Detention on behalf of Sabit Januzi, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00099, 10 November 2023, Confidential ('Second Defence Submission').

¹⁵ Corrigendum Submissions on Detention on behalf of Sabit Januzi, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, 10 November 2023, Confidential ('Corrected Second Defence Submission').

¹⁶ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Gucati and Haradinaj, Decision on Hysni Gucati's Appeal on Matters Related to Arrest and Detention, KSC-BC-2020-07/IA001/F00005, 9 December 2020 ('Gucati Appeals Decision'), para.51.

¹⁷ Article 41(6)(b)(i)-(iii).

KSC-BC-2023-10 3 17 November 2023

13. As also recognised by the Pre-Trial Judge, 18 the Court of Appeals has been clear that,

once a grounded suspicion under Article 41(6)(a) is identified, an articulable basis of a

single ground under Article 41(6)(b) is sufficient to support detention. 19 The applicable

standard is articulable grounds that support a 'belief' that there is a risk of one of the

Article 41(6)(b) grounds occurring.²⁰ The 'belief' test denotes 'an acceptance of the

possibility, not the inevitability, of a future occurrence'.21 In other words, the standard to

be applied is less than certainty, but more than a mere possibility of a risk materialising.²²

Articulable in this context means specified in detail by reference to the relevant

information or evidence.²³

14. In considering whether an accused should be detained or released, the relevant panel

must consider whether measures other than detention would sufficiently reduce the risk

of the Article 41(6)(b) factors occurring.²⁴

¹⁸ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, para.19.

¹⁹ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Gucati and Haradinaj, Consolidated Decision on Nasim Haradinaj's Appeals Against Decisions on Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-07/IA007/F00004, 6 April 2022, para.49; See Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Decision on Kadri Veseli's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA001/F00005, 30 April 2021 ('Veseli Interim Release Appeals Decision'), para.15. See also Articles 19(1.9), 19(1.10) and 19(1.31) of the 2022 Kosovo Criminal Procedure Code, Code No. 08/L-032 ('KCPC').

²⁰ Veseli Interim Release Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA001/F00005, para.19.

²¹ See Veseli Interim Release Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA001/F00005, paras 13-19; Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Decision on Kadri Veseli's Application for Interim Release, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00178, 22 January 2021, para.21 citing Gucati Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-07/IA001/F00005, paras 63, 67.

²² Veseli Interim Release Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA001/F00005, para.17.

²³ Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Hashim Thaçi, KSC-BC-2020-06/F01862, 16 October 2023, para.12 citing Article 19.1.30 of the KCPC 2012, Law No. 04/L-123 defining 'articulable' as: 'the party offering the information or evidence must specify in detail the information or evidence being relied upon'.

²⁴ Judgment on the Referral of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence Adopted by Plenary on 17 March 2017 to the Specialist Chamber of the Constitutional Court Pursuant to Article 19(5) of the Law no. 05/L-053 on Specialist Chambers and Specialist Prosecutor's Office, KSC-CC-PR-2017-1/F00004, 26 April 2017, para.14.

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

15. If the Article 41(6) conditions are met and no lesser measures are available, the person

shall continue to be detained.²⁵

IV. SUBMISSIONS

16. In the Decision on Arrest and Transfer, the Pre-Trial Judge found the Article 41(6)

criteria to be met and Januzi's detention to be necessary. In light of the Defence

Submissions, the Pre-Trial Judge must now consider anew whether those conditions

continue to be satisfied.²⁶ In doing so, the Pre-Trial Judge may refer to previous decisions

and material and evidence already before him, without this affecting the de novo character

of his decision.²⁷ For the reasons set out below, the SPO has fully discharged its burden²⁸

in showing that all Article 41(6) criteria are met and Januzi's detention remains necessary.

A. GROUNDED SUSPICION

17. For the reasons set out in the Confirmation Decision²⁹ and affirmed in the Decision

on Arrest and Transfer,30 there is a well-grounded suspicion that Januzi committed or

²⁵ Gucati Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-07/IA001/F00005, para.51.

²⁶ See, inter alia, Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of the Decision on Jakup Krasniqi's Application for Interim Release, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00180/RED, 22 January 2021 ('Krasniqi Decision'), para.16. Following his first, inter partes detention ruling, the Pre-Trial Judge is not required to make findings on the factors already decided upon in the initial ruling on detention, but must examine these reasons or circumstances and determine whether they still exist. See, inter alia, Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi, KSC-BC-2020-

06/F00371/RED, 25 June 2021, para.19.

²⁷ Krasnigi Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00180/RED, para.24.

²⁸ Krasniqi Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00180/RED, para.17.

²⁹ Confirmation Decision, F00008/RED/COR, paras 95, 111, 123.

³⁰ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, para.17.

KSC-BC-2023-10 5 17 November 2023

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

attempted to commit crimes within the jurisdiction of the KSC. This level of suspicion

exceeds the 'grounded suspicion' required for detention.31

18. Nothing has occurred since these decisions were issued that would affect the Pre-

Trial Judge's determination. Indeed, subsequent developments have strengthened the

basis for the Pre-Trial Judge's finding of well-grounded suspicion that Januzi committed

crimes within the KSC's jurisdiction.

19. In particular, on 4 October 2023, Januzi gave a voluntary interview to the SPO,

wherein he denied having taken any criminal action but also confirmed several pieces of

inculpatory information.³² Most notably, Januzi confirmed that he had met with Witness

1 at the approximate time and place indicated in the Indictment;³³ that he had had

discussions with Bahtijari,³⁴ Co-Perpetrator 1,³⁵ and Witness 1³⁶ about [REDACTED]; and

that he had contacted [REDACTED] in an effort to arrange a meeting with Witness 1,37 as

set forth in the Detailed Outline submitted as supporting material to the Indictment.³⁸

20. Januzi responds with a series of speculative and largely irrelevant arguments,

including that there is no evidence that Januzi was aware of [REDACTED] that was made

by Bahtijari,39 that Bahtijari seemed uncomfortable making and/or confirming

[REDACTED],40 and that Januzi visited alone and did not make any explicit threat

³¹ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Shala, Public Redacted Version of Decision on Pjetër Shala's Request for Provisional Release, KSC-BC-2020-04/F00045/RED, 15 June 2021 ('Shala Decision'), para.15.

110V1510Hall Release, RSC-DC-2020-04/100045/RED, 15 Julie 202

³² 116063-TR-ET Parts 1-4.

³³ 116063-TR-ET Part 1, pp.16-18.

³⁴ 116063-TR-ET Part 1, [REDACTED].

³⁵ 116063-TR-ET Part 1, [REDACTED].

³⁶ 116063-TR-ET Part 1, [REDACTED].

³⁷ 116063-TR-ET [REDACTED].

38 [REDACTED].

³⁹ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, paras 11-12.

⁴⁰ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, paras 13-14.

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

(though he did clearly indicate that he was following up on the visit of Bahtijari).⁴¹ As

recognised by Januzi,42 the Pre-Trial Judge correctly considered the context of the

communications as a whole, including their 'timing, sequence, and frequency' in making

his conclusion in this regard.

21. For all of these reasons, well-grounded suspicion that Januzi committed crimes

within the KSC's jurisdiction has only increased since the original determination.

B. DETENTION IS JUSTIFIED UNDER ALL ARTICLE 41(6)(B) FACTORS

i. Risk of Flight (Article 41(6)(b)(i))

22. In previously finding Januzi to present a flight risk, the Pre-Trial Judge considered:

(1) the gravity of the offences charged, together with the potential sentence; (2) the

opportunity to evade justice, including by travelling freely to jurisdictions beyond the

reach of the KSC; and (3) Januzi's demonstrated blatant disregard for the laws and rules

of the KSC, in particular court-ordered protective measures.⁴³

23. In addition, the following concrete and specific information about the Accused's

associated networks and personal circumstances heighten the risk of flight and

supplement related submissions considered in the Decision on Arrest and Transfer.⁴⁴

⁴¹ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.15.

⁴² Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.12

⁴³ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, para.20.

⁴⁴ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, para.20.

KSC-BC-2023-10 7 17 November 2023

24. The SPO previously noted Januzi's connection to former KLA commander Co-

Perpetrator 1, [REDACTED].⁴⁵ During his SPO interview, Januzi confirmed that Co-

Perpetrator 1 was [REDACTED]. 46 [REDACTED], 47 [REDACTED]. 48

25. Having now been charged with crimes allegedly aimed at undermining the evidence

[REDACTED],⁴⁹ Januzi has an even stronger unity of interests with influential individuals

from within the former senior KLA leadership, [REDACTED]. [REDACTED], the Pre-

Trial Judge has noted that [REDACTED] cannot be ignored in assessing the risk that

individuals in his support network, who share his firm opposition to the KSC, may be

willing to give him access to assets and/or help him abscond.⁵⁰ This network likewise has

the means and incentives to assist those (perceived to be) [REDACTED].

26. In addition, Januzi has acknowledged his active membership in the KLA War

Veterans Association ('WVA'), including acting as the local point of contact for his

region.⁵¹ The WVA does not recognise the authority of the KSC, and has significant

resources through its estimated membership of at least 40,000 members.⁵² This represents

yet another network that has the means and incentives to assist those (perceived to be)

[REDACTED] and/or against the KSC.

45 [REDACTED].

⁴⁶ 116063 TR ET Part 1, pp.9-10.

⁴⁷ 116063 TR ET Part 1, pp.10-11.

⁴⁸ 116063 TR ET Part 1, p.12; 116063-TR-ET Part 4, p.4.

^{49 [}REDACTED]

⁵⁰ [REDACTED].

⁵¹ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.26.

⁵² See Specialist Prosecutor v. Gucati and Haradinaj, Consolidated Prosecution response to Defence motions challenging lawfulness of arrest and requesting release, KSC-BC-2020-07/F00045, 9 October 2020, paras 10-11.

27. These factors render irrelevant Januzi's submissions in relation to his own [REDACTED].⁵³

28. More generally, the prevailing climate of obstruction in connection with KLA-related criminal proceedings, both in and outside Kosovo, allows for the mobilisation of supporters to assist Januzi in fleeing to evade justice.⁵⁴ The KLA continues to enjoy broad support both inside and outside of Kosovo, and Januzi's case has now received widespread press coverage in the region and internationally.⁵⁵

29. Further, Januzi is now aware of the serious confirmed charges against him, that he may face a substantial prison sentence if convicted, and, through the ongoing disclosure process, he is gaining increasing knowledge about the strength of the evidence to be presented against him.⁵⁶ All of these factors establish Januzi's risk of flight as a 'sufficiently real possibility'.⁵⁷

⁵³ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.25.

⁵⁴ See, generally, 'Obstructing the Investigation – Too many Obstacles, Too Little Evidence, in Sense Agency, ICTY: The Kosovo Case, 1998-1999', available at https://kosovo.sense-agency.com/.

⁵⁵ See, e.g., 'Two arrested for witness tampering at special Kosovo court', Reuters, 6 October 2023, available at https://www.reuters.com/world/europe/two-arrested-witness-tampering-special-kosovo-court-2023-10-06/; Kosovo Men Deny Witness Intimidation at Hague War Crimes Court, Balkan Insight, 9 October 2023, available at Kosovo Men Deny Witness Intimidation at Hague War Crimes Court | Balkan Insight; 'Për çfarë dyshohet Sabit Jonuzi? İsh-ushtari i UÇK-së që u arrestua dje nga Specialja', Gazeta Express, 6 October 2023, available at Për çfarë dyshohet Sabit Jonuzi? Ish-ushtari i UÇK-së që u arrestua dje nga Specialja -Gazeta Express; 'Specialja arreston një të dyshuar për pengim të drejtësisë', Kallxo, 5 October 2023, available at Specialja arreston një të dyshuar për pengim të drejtësisë (kallxo.com); 'The special arrests the former **KLA** member, Sabit Januzi', Koha Ditore, October https://www.koha.net/en/arboretum/394500/the-special-arrests-the-former-member-of-uck-se-sabit-Bahtijari/; 'Gjykata Speciale arreston ish-pjesëtarin e UÇK-së, Sabit Jonuzi', Telegrafi, 6 October 2023, available at Giykata Speciale arreston ish-pjesëtarin e UÇK-së, Sabit Jonuzi - Telegrafi - Telegrafi.

⁵⁶ See Selimi Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00179/RED, para.31.

⁵⁷ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Rexhep Selimi's Appeal Against Decision on Interim Release, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA003/F00005/RED, 30 April 2021 ('Selimi Appeals Decision'), para.44.

30. Sentencing exposure is an important factor⁵⁸ that judges are required to consider.⁵⁹ In

this regard, the potential maximum sentences for offences under KCC Articles 387 and

401 include substantial fines and up to ten years of imprisonment.⁶⁰ The sentences

imposed upon Hysni Gucati and Nasim Haradinaj, who were also charged with

obstruction offences before the KSC, were ultimately more than four years'

imprisonment.61

31. Further, the Defence does not specifically indicate any physical or medical condition

or family obligation that would root Januzi to his place of residence. [REDACTED].62

Januzi has indicated that he may [REDACTED] and he has offered no explanation as to

how [REDACTED].63 As detailed above, Januzi could access the associated networks and

resources of, among others, Co-Perpetrator 1, [REDACTED], and the WVA.

32. Januzi maintains that he is not a flight risk on the basis of his cooperative behaviour

as suspect prior to his arrest.⁶⁴ However, being aware of one's suspect status is

substantially different than facing formal charges in an indictment filed by a prosecutor

⁵⁸ Gucati Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-07/IA001/F00005, para.72. ECtHR, *Panchenko v. Russia*, 45100/98, Judgment, 8 February 2005, paras. 101-105 (noting that the severity of the sentence faced is a 'relevant element in the assessment of the risk of absconding'). *See also ICC, Prosecutor v. Bemba*, ICC-01/05-01/08-3249-Red OA11, Judgment on the Provisional Release Appeal, 20 May 2015 ('Bemba Decision'), paras. 1, 71 (holding that the Trial Chamber did not err in relying on the fact that charges had been confirmed against the accused as part of its analysis regarding the necessity of detention).

⁵⁹ ICTY, *Prosecutor v. Ljube Boškoski and Johan Tarčulovski*, IT-04-82-PT, Decision on Johan Tarčulovski's Motion for Provisional Release, 18 July 2004, paras. 15, 34 (noting that 'a Trial Chamber *must* make specific reference to the length of the sentence the accused can expect if convicted, and the incentive this may give the accused to flee,' and denying the accused's motion for provisional release) (emphasis added).

⁶⁰ Article 387 carries a maximum sentence of 10 years of imprisonment and Article 401 generally carries a maximum sentence of 3 years of imprisonment, with a maximum sentence of 5 years of imprisonment possible where the offence is committed against, *inter alia*, a judge, prosecutor, official of a court, or a prosecution officer.

⁶¹ Specialist Prosecutor v. Gucati and Haradinaj, Appeal Judgment, KSC-CA-2022-01/F00114, para.442.

⁶² Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, paras 23-24; 116063-TR-ET Part 1, p.9, 116063-TR-ET Part 2, pp.4-5.

⁶³ Cf Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.24.

⁶⁴ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, paras 17, 18, 21, 22.

Date public redacted version. 20/11/2023 10.27.

and confirmed by a court.65 Prior to his interview and subsequent arrest the following

day, Januzi was not yet fully aware of the nature of the charges against him or the

substance of the evidence that the SPO has now begun disclosing to him. Januzi's

representations that he is not a flight risk or would have surrendered are 'merely

hypothetical.'66

33. For all of those reasons, Januzi poses a risk of flight if released from detention.

ii. Risk of Obstruction of Proceedings (Article 41(6)(b)(ii))

34. In previously finding that Januzi may obstruct the progress of criminal proceedings,

the Pre-Trial Judge recalled: (1) that the two Accused, jointly with others, including Co-

Perpetrator 1, individually approached Witness 1, on two separate occasions, to induce

him to refrain from testifying in KSC proceedings; (2) that on the first occasion, Bahtijari

confirmed to Witness 1 that failure to withdraw his testimony could result in

[REDACTED]; (3) that the Accused have the means and the intent to obtain and misuse

witness-related information to obstruct and interfere with KSC proceedings, including

by exerting pressure on Witness 1 [REDACTED] in order to dissuade him from further

participating as an SPO witness; (4) that both Accused are [REDACTED] and, therefore,

have increased opportunities to directly interfere with Witness 1 [REDACTED]; (5) the

close coordination between Co-Perpetrator 1 and the Accused in relation to their

approaches to Witness 1, which is indicative of the Accused's persistence in intimidation

and obstruction efforts in the context of KSC proceedings; and (6) that such findings are

65 See generally Bemba Decision, ICC-01/05-01/08-3249-Red OA11, paras 1, 71.

⁶⁶ See ICC, Prosecutor v. Lubanga Dyilo, ICC-01/04-01/06-824 OA7, Judgment on Lubanga's Interim Release Appeal, 13 February 2007, para.138. See also ICC, Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-323 OA, Judgment on Bemba's Interim Release Appeal, 16 December 2008, para.56; ICC, Prosecutor v. Bemba, ICC-01/05-01/08-631-Red OA2, Judgment on Prosecution's Conditional Release Appeal, 2 December 2009, para.75.

KSC-BC-2023-10 11 17 November 2023

made against the backdrop of the pervasive climate of fear and intimidation in Kosovo

against witnesses or potential witnesses of the KSC.67

35. Such obstruction could also be done through or in conjunction with the networks

discussed above, [REDACTED], Co-Perpetrator 1, and/or the WVA. The Pre-Trial Judge

has noted that [REDACTED], and found that this is an important factor when addressing

the risk of obstruction of proceedings.68

36. Januzi's arguments that he has abstained from further obstruction and/or destruction

of evidence are flatly contradicted by available evidence, despite any superficial

cooperation with the investigation that he may have demonstrated.⁶⁹ Additionally,

though Januzi voluntarily gave his account of the events at issue in this case, he denies

any criminal responsibility and his account conflicts with both the account of Witness 1

and that of Bahtijari.70

37. [REDACTED],⁷¹ [REDACTED],⁷² [REDACTED],⁷³ [REDACTED],⁷⁴ [REDACTED],⁷⁵

[REDACTED].

38. [REDACTED]⁷⁶ [REDACTED].⁷⁷ [REDACTED]⁷⁸ [REDACTED].

⁶⁷ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, para.21.

^{68 [}REDACTED].

⁶⁹ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, paras 32, 34, 35.

⁷⁰ See supra para.19.

^{71 [}REDACTED].

^{72 [}REDACTED].

^{73 [}REDACTED].

^{74 [}REDACTED].

^{75 [}REDACTED].

⁷⁶ [REDACTED].

^{77 [}REDACTED].

^{78 [}REDACTED].

39. For all of these reasons, there are concrete and objective risks that Januzi may

continue his efforts to obstruct the progress of criminal proceedings.

iii. Risk of Criminal Offences (Article 41(6)(b)(iii))

40. In previously finding that Januzi may commit further crimes, the Pre-Trial Judge

concluded that the relevant factors to be considered are the same as those with respect to

the obstruction of proceedings.⁷⁹ In particular, the Pre-Trial Judge found that there exists

a risk that the Accused will repeat the offences alleged to have been committed by them.⁸⁰

41. Januzi's argument that Witness 1 has [REDACTED] does not eliminate the risk that

Januzi may commit further crimes, 81 and ignores, for example, the incentive

[REDACTED]. Januzi's demonstrated willingness to engage in obstructive criminal

conduct in [REDACTED] only forecasts the concrete risks in a case where he is the

accused.

42. Therefore, contrary to the assertion of the Defence, there are concrete and objective

risks that Januzi may commit further crimes.

C. NO MODALITIES OF CONDITIONAL RELEASE ARE ABLE TO SUFFICIENTLY MITIGATE THE

RISKS

43. Januzi proposes a list of conditions which could be applied in the event of his

release. 82 In relation to those in the cited Decision on Commutation, 83 Januzi ignores that

⁷⁹ Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, para.22.

80 Decision on Arrest and Transfer, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00009/RED, para.22.

81 Contra Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, [REDACTED].

82 Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, paras 30, 36, 43-44.

⁸³ Cf Decision on Commutation, Modification or Alteration of Sentence with Confidential and Ex Parte Annexes, KSCSC-2023-01/CS001/F00002, 12 October 2023 ('Decision on Commutation'), with Corrected

Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.44.

KSC-BC-2023-10 13 17 November 2023

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

the procedural stage and circumstances of this case are very different from those

considered therein.84 Notably, prior to the Decision on Commutation, Gucati was

detained throughout the proceedings, and the necessity of such detention was repeatedly

affirmed by KSC Pre-Trial, Trial, and Appeals Panels. Thus, if any comparison were to be

drawn, it should be with these detention decisions, particularly where, as here, the

Accused have demonstrated blatant disregard for KSC laws and rules, and concrete risks

of further acts of obstruction, as detailed above.

44. In any case, no combination of release conditions, nor any additional measures

foreseen in Article 41(12), could sufficiently, and to a degree comparable to that of

detention at the KSC detention facilities, mitigate the existing risks with respect to

Januzi.85 Detention is the only means by which these risks can be adequately managed.

The communications monitoring framework of the KSC detention facilities will be

particularly important to ensure that Januzi does not continue to engage in further

obstructive and/or criminal behaviour.86

45. At the outset, the SPO notes that the Defence's request for release with conditions

must be summarily dismissed in that it fails to explain how such conditions might

address any of the Article 41(6)(b) risks.87 Beyond proposing measures, the Defence

makes no attempt to explain how they would serve to mitigate risks, including how any

conditions would be monitored or enforced.

KSC-BC-2023-10 14 17 November 2023

⁸⁴ *See* Decision on Commutation, paras 9 (having served two-thirds of the sentence), 12-19 (remorse for own actions), 20-27 (having shown rehabilitation related to ability to follow KSC rules).

⁸⁵ See *Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al.*, Decision on Periodic Review of Detention of Hashim Thaçi, KSC-BC-2020 06/F01720, 16 August 2023, para.30.

⁸⁶ See especially Articles 4.2, 6-8 and 17 of the Registry Practice Direction on Detainees – Visits and Communications, KSC-BD-09/Rev1/2020, 23 September 2020.

⁸⁷ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Mustafa, Decision on Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-05/F00052, 23 November 2020, para.35; see also Specialist Prosecutor v. Gucati and Haradinaj, Decision on Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-07/F00058, 27 October 2020, para.30.

KSC-BC-2023-10/F00107/RED/16 of 19

PUBLIC
Date original: 17/11/2023 16:05:00

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

46. Relevant specifically to the risk of flight, even were Januzi to surrender his passport,

that would not address the fact that international travel from Kosovo is legally⁸⁸ and

illegally possible without a passport, including to countries with no obligation to transfer

Januzi to the KSC. For these same reasons, home confinement, even with electronic

monitoring, would be ineffective, since Januzi could remove any monitoring devices and

flee the borders of Kosovo without any likelihood of being returned to the jurisdiction of

the KSC.89 Similarly, a monetary surety would be insufficient to address the concrete risks

of release, particularly when considered in light of Januzi's access to resources through

Co-Perpetrator 1, [REDACTED], the WVA, and/or their associated networks.

47. Further, as previously recognised by the Pre-Trial Judge, the array of communication

mediums available is so varied that it is only through the communication monitoring

framework in place at the KSC detention facilities that the communications of an accused

can be effectively restricted and monitored, thereby mitigating the risks of him

obstructing KSC proceedings or engaging in or contributing to further crimes.⁹⁰ This is

particularly germane to the instant case where varied forms of communications between

the Accused and Co-Perpetrator 1 were essential components to the planning and

coordination of their criminal acts.

KSC-BC-2023-10 15 17 November 2023

⁸⁸ For example, based on information available on the websites of the relevant Ministries of Foreign Affairs, a passport is not required for Kosovans traveling to, *inter alia*, Montenegro, North Macedonia, and Albania.

⁸⁹ See generally ICC, Prosecutor v. Bemba et al., ICC-01/05-01/13-259, Decision on Kilolo Request for Provisional Release, 14 March 2014 ('Kilolo Decision'), para.22.

⁹⁰ Shala Decision, KSC-BC-2020-04/F00045/RED, para.46. *See also* Kilolo Decision, ICC-01/05-01/13-259, para.43.

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

48. In the context of other cases, similar but more robust combinations of conditions than those proposed by Januzi have been rejected by the Pre-Trial Judge,⁹¹ with the approval

of the Court of Appeals⁹² and the Supreme Court.⁹³

49. Of particular relevance to the instant case, regarding the risk of illicit messages and

instructions being transmitted by and to the Accused, the Pre-Trial Judge found that the

measures in place at the KSC detention facilities, viewed as a whole, provide robust

assurances against unmonitored visits and communications with family members and

pre-approved visitors with a view to minimising the risks of obstruction and commission

of further crimes, and that the Kosovo Police have not provided guarantees establishing

that they have the capacity to implement corresponding measures that sufficiently

minimise the existing risks.⁹⁴ This is particularly salient to Januzi's proposed conditions

relating to reporting and/or monitoring in Kosovo.

50. The Pre-Trial Judge has also recalled the reasons that proceedings were relocated

away from Kosovo, 95 and the procedural framework and operational practice of the KSC

⁹¹ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Remanded Detention Review Decision and Periodic Review of Detention of Jakup Krasniqi, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00582/RED, 26 November 2021 ('Krasniqi Conditions Decision'), paras 67-101; see also Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Remanded Detention Review Decision and Periodic Review of Detention of Kadri Veseli, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00576/RED, 23 November 2021, para.99.

⁹² See Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Public Redacted Version of Decision on Kadri Veseli's Appeal Against Decision on Remanded Detention Review and Periodic Review of Detention, KSC-BC-2020-06/IA014/F00008/RED, 31 March 2022, paras 34-45.

⁹³ See Specialist Prosecutor v. Thaçi et al., Decision on Kadri Veseli's Request for Protection of Legality, KSC-BC-2020-06/PL001/F00008, 15 August 2022, paras 52-70.

⁹⁴ Krasniqi Conditions Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00582/RED, para.77.

⁹⁵ Law No. 04/L-274, pp.8-9 ('If the SITF investigation culminates in an indictment and trial proceedings, an environment conducive to the proper administration of justice should be provided. Accordingly, a specialist court within the Kosovo court system and a specialist prosecutor's office would be used for any trial and appellate proceedings arising from the SITF investigation. This court would have a seat in Kosovo, but sensitive proceedings, including hearing of witnesses, would take place outside of the country in view of the nature of the allegations'); Agreement between the Kingdom of the Netherlands and the Republic of Kosovo concerning the Hosting of the Kosovo Relocated Specialist Judicial Institution in the Netherlands, 15 February 2016, preamble ('Referring to the exchange of letters between the President of the Republic of

Date public redacted version: 20/11/2023 18:27:00

have been specifically designed to ensure, to the maximum extent possible, the protection

of witnesses, victims and others at risk.⁹⁶ The Pre-Trial Judge further noted that various

international organisations have recently documented that corruption continues to affect

the criminal justice sector in Kosovo.97

D. DETENTION IS PROPORTIONAL

51. Related to proportionality, Januzi makes premature assertions about the degree of

harm established at this early stage of the proceedings, 98 and the incorrect (given the

above) assertion that there are more lenient measures than detention that meet the same

stated aim (namely, to ensure the presence of the accused during proceedings, to prevent

reoffending and/or to ensure successful conduct of criminal proceedings), which are

more proportionately aligned with the alleged offending in the present case.⁹⁹

52. Given all of the above, the stage of proceedings in this case, the progress and

disclosure already made and the framework and calendar set for pre-trial proceedings,

detention is both reasonable and proportional at this time. 100

Kosovo and the High Representative of the European Union for Foreign Affairs and Security Policy dated 14 April 2014, ratified by Kosovo Law No. 04/L-274 of 15 May 2014, containing the commitment of the Republic of Kosovo to establish Specialist Chambers and a Specialist Prosecutor's Office within the Kosovo judicial system to be used for trial and appellate proceedings arising from the investigation of the Special Investigative Task Force of the Special Prosecution Office of the Republic of Kosovo related to the Council of Europe Parliamentary Assembly Report Doc 12462 of 7 January 2011 and which may be relocated to a third State subject to the conclusion of a Host State Agreement with the Host State'), article 3 ('The Kosovo Relocated Specialist Judicial Institution shall have a seat in the Host State').

⁹⁶ Krasniqi Conditions Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00582/RED, para.80.

⁹⁷ Krasniqi Conditions Decision, KSC-BC-2020-06/F00582/RED, para.80 *citing* United Nations Interim Administration Mission in Kosovo, *Report of the Secretary-General*, U.N.Doc. S/2020/964, 1 October 2020, para.30; European Union Rule of Law Mission, *Justice Monitoring Report*, October 2020, p.21; European Commission, *Kosovo Report* 2021, 19 October 2021, pp.23, 25.

⁹⁸ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.46.

⁹⁹ Corrected Second Defence Submission, KSC-BC-2023-10/F00100, para.47.

Shala Decision, KSC-BC-2020-04/F00045/RED, para.49; Gucati Appeals Decision, KSC-BC-2020-07, IA001/F00005, paras 72-73.

V. CLASSIFICATION

53. This filing is submitted confidentially pursuant to Rule 82(4). A public redacted version will be filed.

VI. CONCLUSION

54. For the foregoing reasons, the SPO respectfully submits that Januzi should remain detained.

Word count: 5,660

Kimberly P. West

Specialist Prosecutor

Friday, 17 November 2023

At The Hague, the Netherlands